

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/559,584	12/02/2005	Jonathan W. Roberts	2309.002A	2701		
23405 HESLIN ROT	7590 02/26/200 HENBERG FARLEY &	EXAM	EXAMINER			
5 COLUMBIA	CIRCLE	STEELE, JI	STEELE, JENNIFER A			
ALBANY, NY	12203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1794			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/26/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/559,584	ROBERTS ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
JENNIFER STEELE	1794				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IΗ	ΕI	REPLY	FILED	11 Feb	uary 200	9 FAILS	TO PLACE	THIS A	PLICATION	ON IN CON	DITION FO	R ALLO	WANCE.	
1.	X	The re	ply was	filed aft	er a final	rejection,	but prior t	o or on th	e same da	ay as filing a	Notice of	Appeal. 1	To avoid al	bandonn

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a)

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
    - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
    - NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to:
  - Claim(s) rejected: 1-13 and 19-28.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-18.
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. X The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other:

/J.S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant cancelled claims 1-13 and 19-28 and presented new claims 29-46. Claims 14-18 are withdrawn. The new claims will require further search and consideration and will not be entered.

Claims 23, 24 and 25 have been cancelled and therefore the 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejection is withdrawn.

Applicants arguments are not persuasive and moot in view of new claims presented and previously rejected claims being cancelled. The claims, as amended would require further search and consideration. Applicants argue that the Examiner failed to show that the combination of Roberts and Scari would inherently produce the claimed properties. As the combination of Roberts in view of Scari provides the same structure as the claimed invention, it is presumed the properties would also be inherent. The burden of proof is on the Applicant to present evidence of unexpected results.